

# Dependence of the computer time on the number of coefficients

For computing of the exact  $1/D$ -series, we use the inhomogeneous equation

$$(H_0 - E_0)\psi = W\psi, \quad W = \delta E - \delta V.$$

It leads to the equation for the wavefunction at any order  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ :

$$(H_0 - E_0)\psi^{(n)} = \sum_{m=0}^n W^{(n-m)}\psi^{(m)}$$

that is solved in a matrix form.

For the problem with two independent degrees of freedom, the number of components of the vector  $\psi^{(n)}$  rises as  $n^2$ , and the number of components of the matrix  $W^{(n)}$  rises as  $n^2 \cdot n^2$ . So, the calculation of every component of  $\psi^{(n)}$  needs  $\sim n \cdot n^2$  summations, and the calculation of all  $\sim n^2$  components of  $\psi^{(n)}$  needs  $\sim n^5$  summations. Thus, the calculation of all  $\psi^{(n)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  needs  $\sim n^6$  summations. For the particular case of diamagnetic hydrogen atom, we should take into account that every component of the matrix  $W^{(n)}$  represents a sum of  $\sim n$  elements (since  $V^{(n)}(q_1, q_2)$  represents a sum of three homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n+2$ ,  $n+1$ , and  $n$ ). As a result, the total number of operations rises more strongly, as  $n^7$ .

For the problem with only one independent degree of freedom, the similar estimate gives  $\sim n^4$  operations (without considering the structure of every component of the matrix  $W^{(n)}$ ). In the case of the SCF equations, every component of the matrix  $W^{(n)}$  represents a sum of  $\sim n$  elements (since  $V^{(n)}(q_1)$  represents a sum of  $\sim n$  terms of a polynomial of degree  $n+2$ ). As a result, the total number of operations rises as  $n^5$ . Note that for one-particle spherically-symmetric problem,  $V^{(n)}(q_1)$  represents a sum of only three terms, so the total number of operations rises more slowly as  $n^4$ .

Numerical evidence confirms our estimates. On the attached figure, we plot the computing time vs the number of perturbation coefficients in a double logarithmic scale. According to this numerical estimate, the time rises as  $n^{6.8}$  for the exact series, and as  $n^{5.2}$  for SCF series.