Variational principle for critical parameters of quantum systems

A V Sergeev and S Kais

Purdue University, Department of Chemistry, 1393 Brown Building, West Lafayette, IN 47907

Abstract. Variational principle for eigenvalue problems with a non-identity weight operator is used to establish upper or lower bounds on critical parameters of quantum systems. Three problems from atomic physics are considered as examples. Critical screening parameters for the exponentially screened Coulomb potential are found using a trial function with one non-linear variational parameter. The critical charge for the helium isoelectronic series is found using a Hylleraas-type trial function. Finally, critical charges for the same system subject to a magnetic field are found using a product of two hydrogen-like basis sets.

1. Introduction

The variational principle for eigenvalue problems is a well-known method and widely used in quantum calculations since the foundation of quantum mechanics. For the eigenvalue equation of the form

$$L(\psi) = \lambda M(\psi), \tag{1}$$

where L and M are self-adjoint operators, the variational principle reads (Morse and Feshbach 1953):

$$\delta[\lambda] = \delta \left[\int \psi M(\psi) dV / \int \psi L(\psi) dV \right] = 0. \tag{2}$$

For a time-independent Schrödinger equation, M and λ are usually considered as the identity operator and the energy, in this case Equation (2) represents the variational principle for the energy. Here, we point out the usefulness of the variational principle with a non-identity "weight" operator M for calculations of critical parameters of quantum-mechanical systems.

We consider a Hamiltonian that depends on some continuous parameter γ. We call the parameter $\gamma = \gamma_c$ *critical* if the energy of the system reaches the ionization border E_I,

$$H(\gamma_c)\psi = E_I(\gamma_c)\psi. \tag{3}$$

If the Hamiltonian and the border of ionization depend on the parameter γ linearly, i.e. $H(\gamma) = H_0 + H_1\gamma$, $E_I(\gamma) = E_0 + E_1\gamma$ then the Schrödinger equation for the critical parameter, Equation (3) takes the form of Equation (1) where $L = H_0 - E_0$, $M = E_1 - H_1$, and $\lambda = \gamma_c$, in which case the variational principle can be used immediately. Often, a linear dependence on γ should be achieved by an appropriate scaling transformation before using the variational principle.

A similar approach was used earlier for critical screening parameters of the exponentially screened (Hulthén and Laurikainen, 1951) and of the cut-off (Dutt, Singh, and Varshni 1985) Coulomb potentials. Here we present a further demonstration of the power of the general variational principle, Equation (2) to obtain very accurate critical parameters for both simple one degree of freedom problems such as the Yukawa potential and for problems of several degrees of freedom such as the two-electron atoms in a magnetic field. To the best of our knowledge, this general variational principle is used here for the first time to calculate critical parameters for several degrees of freedom. Let us consider several examples to illustrate this approach.

2.   Yukawa potential

The radial Schrödinger equation for one particle in a Yukawa potential, $v(r) = -\exp(-\delta r)/r$, after the scaling transformation $r \to r/\delta$, takes the form

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} - \delta^{-1}\frac{\exp(-r)}{r} - \delta^{-2}E\right]P(r) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where l is the azimuthal quantum number, δ is the screening parameter, E is the energy, and $P(r)$ is the radial wave function multiplied by r (we use atomic units $\hbar = e = m = 1$). For a sufficiently large critical screening parameter $\delta = \delta_c$ the energy reaches the ionization border $E_I = 0$. The equation for the δ_c has a form of a general eigenvalue equation 1 in which $L = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2}$, $M = \exp(-r)/r$, $\psi = P$, and $\lambda = \delta_c^{-1}$. We are looking for an extremum of the functional

$$W = \int \psi M(\psi)dV / \int \psi L(\psi)dV \tag{5}$$

using a trial function with only one variational parameter a:

$$\tilde{P}(r) = r^{-l}\left(1 - e^{(-ar)}\sum_{n=0}^{2l}\frac{a^n}{n!}r^n\right). \tag{6}$$

The function, Equation (6), behaves like $\widetilde{P}(r) \sim r^{l+1}$ at $r \to 0$ and $\widetilde{P}(r) \sim r^{-l}$ at $r \to \infty$ which is consistent with the behavior of the general solution of the radial Schrödinger equation at zero energy.

The functional, Equation (5), appears to have a minimum at a certain $a = a_{\min}$, these values are listed in Table 1 for different values of l. Its minimum gives an

Table 1. Results of minimization of the functional, Equation (5), for the Yukawa potential with one-parameter trial function, Equation (6). The exact critical parameters are given in the last column for comparison.

l	$a_{\min}$	$\widetilde{\delta}_c$	δ_c
0	1.535	1.190 213	1.190 612
1	2.534	0.219 800	0.220 217
2	3.525	0.091 085	0.091 345
3	4.517	0.049 670	0.049 831
4	5.513	0.031 240	0.031 344
5	6.510	0.021 455	0.021 525
6	7.507	0.015 642	0.015 691
7	8.506	0.011 909	0.011 945

approximation for the eigenvalue $\widetilde{\lambda}$. The corresponding approximations for the critical screening parameter $\widetilde{\delta}_c = \widetilde{\lambda}^{-1}$ together with the exact critical screening parameters, which have been found numerically by integration of the Schrödinger equation, are listed in the last two columns of Table 1. The variational method yields excellent lower bounds for the critical screening parameters of the lowest states in each l subspace. Hulthén and Laurikainen (1951) used, for the ground state ($l = 0$), a different trial function in the form of the expansion $(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x}) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} h_\nu \mathrm{e}^{-\nu x}$. Since it has several variational variables, their results are more accurate.

3. Two-electron atoms

Calculation of the critical nuclear charge Z_c for two-electron atoms has long history (Brändas and Goscinski 1972, Stillinger 1966, Stillinger and Stillinger 1974, Stillinger and Weber 1974, Reinhardt 1977) with controversial results of whether or not the value of $1/Z_c$ is the same as the radius of convergence of the perturbation series in $1/Z$, $1/Z_*$. Baker *et al* (1990) have performed a 400-order perturbation calculation to resolve this controversy and found that $1/Z_* = 1/Z_c$ where numerically $Z_*^{-1} \approx 1.097\,66$. Using Euler transformation of the series that accelerates its convergence, Ivanov (1995) estimated the value of the radius of convergence as $Z_*^{-1} \approx 1.097\,660\,79$.

The Schrödinger equation for a two-electron atom, after the scaling transformation $r \to r/Z$, takes the form

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 - \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} + Z^{-1}\frac{1}{r_{12}} - Z^{-2}E\right]\psi = 0, \tag{7}$$

where Z is the charge of the nucleus and E is the energy (in atomic units). For a sufficiently small nuclear charge, at $Z = Z_c$ the energy reaches the ionization border $E_I = -Z^2/2$, which is the energy of one-electron atom. The equation for the Z_c has a form of a general eigenvalue equation 1 in which $L = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 - 1/r_1 - 1/r_2 + 1/2$, $M = 1/r_{12}$, and $\lambda = -Z_c^{-1}$. We are looking for an extremum of the functional, Equation (5), using a Hylleraas-type trial function of the form

$$\psi_N = \sum_{i+j^2+k^2 \le N} C_{i,j,k} \left[r_1^i r_2^j \exp(-ar_1 - br_2) + r_2^i r_1^j \exp(-ar_2 - br_1)\right] \\ \exp(-cr_{12})r_{12}^k. \tag{8}$$

The restriction on the summation indexes $i + j^2 + k^2 \le N$ is used instead of the more common restriction $i + j + k \le N$ in order to decrease the number of terms in the sum from $\sim \frac{1}{6}N^3$ to $\sim \frac{\pi}{8}N^2$. Here, we suppose that correlation terms with higher degrees of r_{12} are relatively unimportant and we suppress them by rising k to k^2. We also assume that expanding over r_2 is less important that expanding over r_1 because we are ordering the parameters a and b so that $a < b$ which means that the wave function $\exp(-br)$ is tighter and is less influenced by the interaction term Z^{-1}/r_{12} than the wave function $\exp(-ar)$.

Full minimization (including non-linear parameters a, b, and c) is done for a relatively small size of the basis set, $N \le 6$. Then, we use fixed near-optimal parameters a, b, and c, which are listed in Table 2, to perform minimization over linear parameters $C_{i,j,k}$ up to $N = 40$. Similar computations are performed for $2p^2$ ^3P state with an

Table 2. Nonlinear parameters of the Hylleraas-type trial function, Equation (8).

	a	b	c
$1s^2$ ^1S	0.35	1.03	0.03
$2p^2$ ^3P	0.12	0.5	0

additional factor $(x_1y_2 - y_1x_2)$ in the trial wave function, Equation (8), (the second line in Table 2) and with a different ionization energy $E_I = -Z^2/8$. Results for the critical parameter $1/Z_c = -W_{\min}$ are given in Table 3. Our results are considerably more accurate than the best earlier results (the last line of Table 3). Variational results give lower bounds for the parameter $1/Z_c$.

Table 3. The inverse of the critical charge found by minimization of the functional, Equation (5), using Hylleraas-type functions, Equation (8), with fixed exponents which are listed in Table 2. The problem reduces to calculation of the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix which size increases $\sim \frac{\pi}{8} N^2$. This matrix is computed using multiple-precision arithmetic to avoid round-off errors. Extrapolation to $N \to \infty$ is done by Shanks transformation (Bender and Orszag 1978).

N	$1s^2\ {}^1S$	$2p^2\ {}^3P$
0	1.007 284 224	0.968 400 90[a]
10	1.097 655 865	1.005 234 43
20	1.097 660 734	1.005 245 51
30	1.097 660 823	1.005 246 00
35	1.097 660 829	1.005 246 05
36	1.097 660 830	1.005 246 06
37	1.097 660 830	1.005 246 06
38	1.097 660 831	1.005 246 06
39	1.097 660 831	1.005 246 07
40	1.097 660 832	1.005 246 07
∞	1.097 660 833	1.005 246 08
	1.097 660 79[a]	1.004 8[b]

[a]Ivanov (1995)

[b]Brändas and Goscinski (1972)

4. Two-electron atoms in a magnetic field

Variational calculations for two-electron atoms in a magnetic field were done using different forms of the trial wave function (Henry *et al* 1974, Larsen 1979, Scrinzi 1998, Becken *et al* 1999). For the present ground-state calculations we use one of the simplest trial function in the form of a linear combination of products of Slater orbitals

$$\psi_N = \sum C_{i_1,i_2,n_1,n_2,m} \left[r_1^{i_1} \exp(-a_1 r_1) r_2^{i_2} \exp(-a_2 r_2) \cos^{n_1}(\theta_1) \cos^{n_2}(\theta_2) \right. \\ \left. \sin^{|m|}(\theta_1) \sin^{|m|}(\theta_2) \exp(im(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)) + \text{exchange} \right]. \tag{9}$$

The summation is done over indexes i_1, i_2, n_1, n_2, m subject to restrictions $i_1 + i_2^2 + m^2 \leq N$, $i_1 \geq n_1 + |m|$, $i_2 \geq n_2 + |m|$, and $n_1 + n_2$ is even.

In the presence of a magnetic field, the Hamiltonian in Equation (7) has an additional diamagnetic interaction term $\frac{B'^2}{8}\left(r_1^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 + r_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_2\right)$ where $B' = B/Z^2$, and B is the magnetic field strength (in atomic units). The ionization energy which is equal to the ground state energy of the one-electron atom in a magnetic field is calculated using the method of $1/D$-expansion (Germann *et al* 1995).

Minimization of the variational functional is done in a similar way as was described in Section 3. For weak and medium fields, results of minimization converge with increase

of N. The critical parameter is found as a function of the scaled magnetic field B'. By varying B', we determine the dependence of Z_c on $B = B'Z_c^2$ parametrically. The dependence of the critical charge on a magnetic field is shown on Figure 1. The magnetic field stabilizes the atom and makes the critical charge smaller. However, for large fields this trend becomes weaker. Our results agree with an estimation $Z_c = 1/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.7$ at large B within a one-dimensional model of Brummelhuis and Ruskai (1999).

5. Conclusion

Calculation of critical parameters is of fundamental importance because it gives boundaries of stability of a quantum system. Particularly, recent calculations of critical charges for multi-electron atoms (Hogreve 1998, Sergeev and Kais 1999) show the nonexistence of doubly charged negative atomic ions. Our approach might be useful in combination with a recently developed finite-size scaling method for quantum systems (Neirotti *et al* 1998, Serra *et al* 1998). However, it would be desirable to develop a variational principle for critical indexes that can be found by the finite-size scaling method, which are unavailable within our present approach.

   In summary, we have generalized the variational principle to obtain the critical parameters for quantum systems with several degrees of freedom. For several problems which are important in atomic physics, we obtained the following results: For the screened Coulomb potential, we constructed a one-parameter variational trial function which is very accurate approximation to the threshold wave function for all azimuthal quantum numbers. For two-electron atoms, we calculated the critical charges for the ground and excited $2p^2\ ^3P$ states with a record accuracy and finally, we calculated, for the first time, the critical charges for two-electron atoms in the presence of a magnetic field. Although our present approach is limited to linear dependence on λ, such as for the two-electron atoms, research is underway to generalize this approach to treat larger atoms.

Acknowledgments

References

Baker J D, Freund D E, Hill R N, and Morgan J D 1990 *Phys. Rev.* A **41**, 1247
Becken W, Schmelcher P, and Diakonos F K 1999 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **32**, 1557
Bender C M and Orszag S A 1978 *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers* (New York: McGraw-Hill)

Brändas E and Goscinski O 1972 *Int. J. Quantum Chem. Symp.* **6**, 59

Brummelhuis R and Ruskai M B 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**, 2568

Dutt R, Singh D, and Varshni Y P 1985 *Prāmana − J. Phys.* **25**, 641

Germann T C, Herschbach D R, Dunn M, and Watson D K 1995 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 658

Henry R J W, O'Connell R F, Smith Ed R, Chanmugam G, and Rajagopal A K 1974 *Phys. Rev.* D **9**, 329

Hogreve H 1998 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **31**, L439

Hulthén L and Laurikainen K V 1951 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **23**, 1

Ivanov I A 1995 *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 1080

Larsen D M 1979 *Phys. Rev. B* **20**, 5217

Morse P M and Feshbach H 1953 *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, Part II (New York: McGraw Hill).

Neirotti J P, Serra P, and Kais S 1998 *J. Chem. Phys.* **108**, 2765

Scrinzi A 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 3879

Stillinger F H 1966 *J. Chem. Phys.* **45**, 3623

Stillinger F H and Stillinger D K 1974 *Phys. Rev. A* **10**, 1109

Stillinger F H and Weber T A 1974 *Phys. Rev. A* **10**, 1122

Reinhardt W P 1977 *Phys. Rev. A* **15**, 802

Sergeev A V and Kais S 1999 *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, in press

Serra P, Neirotti J P, and Kais S 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5293

Figure captions

Figure 1. The dependence of the critical charge, Z_c, on the magnetic field strength B for two-electron atoms. Magnetic field is measured in atomic units (1 a.u. $= 2.35 \cdot 10^9$G)